G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

5 – 01.

1909.

(Murai)

File No. 126-09

Serial Nos. 1 to 13.

Later references.

Mur/02 59 & 10

59

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 13

Part B for Jan 1913.

SUBJECT.

Disbursement of Offerings made by the devotees to the Servants of the Sri Venkata ramanaswami Temple at Guttahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk

Sl. No 1 Petition from archak Venkataramana and others of Guttahalli. Dated 2-8-09 Pray for early disposal of their case on the subject.

Sl No 2. To the Deputy Comr, Kolar Dt. No. 639 Dy Forwards the above petition for a Enclt to Petitioners No. 640 Dy 2.

GPB—5,000—23.10.10

.R.S. 11] 190 9.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Nuzvid)

NOTES.

File No. 126-09

FILE HEADING.

Petition

From

Archak Venkataramanachar and others of Guttahalli,

No. Dated 2:8:09 Received

Diary No. 257 | Enclos. - | Spare Copies - | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Complain that their previous petitions in the matter of the disbursement to them of the Golaka collections of the Sri Venkataramanaswamy temple at Guttahalli, have not been disposed of.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The previous references on the subject have as ordered, been herewith submitted. It will be observed therefrom that the matter is pending disposal since 1902 and the last petition on the subject, which is a memorial To

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07

Revenue riat.

File No. 126 of 1909

Serial No.

H. H. the Maharaja, has been forwarded for disposal to the Dy. Commr.

Flag B

Submitted - May the present petn also be forwarded to the Dy Commr for disposal & that Officer requested to report what action was taken on the previous petn already sent to him?

AG 26-8-09

AG 27/8/09

You will be kind to call for the previous petition & have it put up for report. Send this on also for report noting in the margin all the reminders. Issue reminder after a month & then fortnightly. Keep in touch.

8?
28/8/1909

AG 28/8/09

Issue
S,
31/8/1909

Dft submitted
AG 31/8/09

2. To, The Dy Commr, Kolar Dist
Docket no. 639 Dy 2-9-1909
Forwards SCI for report.

To, Archak Venkataramanachar & Ors
Lr no 640 Dy 2-9-1909
Intimates the above.

3. From, The Dy. Commr, Kolar Dist
Letter no 7382/241 Dy 3-3-1911
Submits report called for on the
The Amildar Mr T.S Raghavacha
report and the Dy. Commrs. letter under disposal

(Z

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

Serial No.

explain fully the facts of the Case.

The only permanent source of income is the annual cash grant of Rs 18-8-0. Beyond Jate and Hureadiga servants who enjoy ~~Service~~ lands and the Archak, who receives cash grant, no pay seems to have been fixed for other servants of the Temple.

Hag J) The Amildar has submitted a Statement (A) shewing the realizations of the Temple from all sources for 5 years from 1905-6 to 1909-10 and the annual average income

Hag X) is stated to be Rs 1260/- A scale of expn is also submitted wherein a fixed percentage of the total receipts from every individual source of income viz Utsava Kanike, Marriage Fees, Kuruba Kanike, Shop Tax, Miscellaneous Kanike, is proposed to the several servants. 5% Commission on the aggregate sum realized is intended to be paid to persons on Collection duty so that they may work with zeal and Vigor. In the scale

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,00 1—12 8 10

Serial No.

Hag W)

it is not shown what percentage of the kuruba kanike is to be paid to Barbers, while in his Statement, the Amildar recommends 40% of the Collection being paid to them. The total expe under all the heads is reported to be Rs 613/ leaving a balance of Rs 647/ every year in favor of the insti.

It is respectfully submitted that the above income is of a fluctuating nature in those years when the income may dwindle into nothing, with the exception of the Archaka and 2 others, other servants will have to do Temple work gratuitously. It is therefore seems necessary that pay from some permanent source of income will have to be fixed.

As per Amildar's report, the amount at the credit of the insti is Rs 7884 and odd, and the amount recommended to be paid to the temple servants for servi rendered for 3 years past is Rs 2171 and odd, leaving thereby a large

GPB—50 00 1—12 8 10

Serial No.

balance of ~~Rs~~ 5713. This amount may be invested ~~in~~ if it has not already been done, in Mysore Govt. loan papers, and the interest realized, appropriated towards the cost of establishment.

Hag vd) In Notification no 270 Dy 27th August 1900, 5 persons had been appointed as Dharmadarsis, 3 of whom are dead and the Dy Commr solicits sanction to the appointment of 5 persons noted in para 9 of his letter as Dharmadarsis.

In para 10 of his letter, the Dy Commr proposes to convert these kundupus which are tiny little jewels of sorts presented to the temple, into one or two large jewels to be used for the Deities during jatras. Submitted for orders

DS(132) 16/7/1911 The Dy Comr reports that I under vigorous supervision of the Inam authorities under his personal orders the income from all the sources of the Temple has steadily risen, & that the amt at the credit of the Instn at the end 09—10 was Rs 7885.

2) That there are gold & silver kundupus

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—50,00 i—12 8 10

File No. 126 of 1909

Serial No.

mudupars ~~etc~~ weighing Rs 649 sold belonging to this temple in the Treasury and asks for sanction to convert them into Jewels to be used on car processioning days.

3) That the scale of Expts as set forth by him in Statt A forming an Encl to his letter may be sanctd.

Remuneration is to be paid by percentage - ‡ for bankers, Rathotsav & other Utsavams, percentages are 'Commission' at 5% is probably not admissible.
RL 17.3.11

4) That certain men (5 in No) may be appointed as Dharmadarsis & their names notified in the Gazette.

5) That the temple servants are not being paid for the last 3 years & request that they may be paid at the rates specified by him in Statt A.

Orders are solicited as to whether sanction may be accorded in 2nd 2, 3 & 5 & whether in regard to 4, the Govr may be informed that the trustee being a minor ~~& both~~ one, he is at liberty to appoint Dharmadarsis himself.

RL 26/3/11
17.3.11

4. From, Archak Venkataramanachar,
Petition dt 17-4-1911.

Represents that the temple is a non-mirasi inam and Govt can exercise their power of interference

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,001—12 8 10

Revenue
ariat.

File No. 126 of 190

Serial No.

to the extent of the cash Yasdik paid to the instn and requests that the system in vogue by which the collections during gatra were being distributed among the temple servants, may be brought into force

Submitted for orders to Secl Commr after obtaining AM (133) RG a draft which entitles the Td Comrs' letter 28.4.1911 and this Asstt Comr's report will, I believe, make the facts of this case clear.

The appropriation of a portion of the income for the remuneration of the servants is based upon the practice in other Temples in the District such as Chenkottai (Vide printed Order No 890-1 MF 87-93 dd 27.10.1903 part of the

761 14.6.1911

Approved

27/6/11

20. The Dy Comr., Kolar & the Comptr to Govt No. 110—11 D 12.7.1911 Sanctioning the arrangements proposed by the Dy.. Comr.. in regard to the disbursements of offerings made by the devotees to the temples

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50 00 1—12 8 10

evenue | MR2 File No. 126 of 1909

Serial No.

6 — To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette

No 112 D 12.7.1911

Notifies the appointment of Dharmadarsis to the temple for publication

Copy forwarded to the Dy Com. Kolar

No 112 11.7.11

7 — To Venkataramanachar, Sattanhalli

No 114 D 12.7.1911

Intimates as in Cr (5) above

8. — From, Venkataramanachary alias Shamanna, Archak of the Temple

Review-petition Dy 24-8-1911

Prays that orders conveyed in 8C.5 may be re-considered

The petr in detailing the facts of the case, says that previously on more occasions than one, Govt tried to interfere in regard to the affairs of the temple, but on the application of the temple servants the prevailing practice was restored, that before the fourth intervention by Govt, the temple affairs were being conducted smoothly and prays that the original practice by which the petr and those before him were receiving all the kanikes and sas for every procession may be restored—

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—12.6.10

He adds that this practice is now prevailing in Gangamma's Temple at Peddahalli, Bowringpet Taluk and also in Chick Tirupathy temple

9 A copy of Govt order relating to Chick Tirupati temple is in the file

Submitted for orders

AG (137) 20.9.11

Submitted to the Commissioner Kolar. This is an application for the (79) review of the order already passed.

The petitioner states that he had been heard more than once in person and also his pleader also and that his recommendation was made after careful consideration. There does not appear to be any ground for modifying the order already passed. If he cannot be heard he may be heard.

Ahmedmiya

165

24/9/1911

The party may be given notice to appear.

27/9/11

Issue notice for the 1st November 1911

28-9-1911.

Submitted

AG 2/10 1911

Issued

NOTE- Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

10

venue at.

File No. 126 of 1909

Serial No.

Petr (by Mr C.V. Narasimha Rao) for — Heard —

~~These~~ The last order of Govt introduces some System where before it was all ~~confusion~~ unsettled. The percentage of income to be given to temple servants can only be fixed arbitrarily. The ~~to~~ Petr's Complaint is that certain Kani-kes (3 p. levied per Cocoanut broken, and money for Mangala-rate money) ~~should~~ is being credited to general funds. ~~The orders is but a~~ A report may be called for on this head —

1/11/11

Submitted with a draft Postcard for draft

Ag (132) 3-11-11 GH 6-11-11

9/11/11

Fair draft submitted. Sd 7/11.

TM 6 11 11

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Revenue File No. 126 of 1910

Serial No. 9

To

The Deputy Commr Kolar Dt —
No 1114 D 14-11-1911

Forwards the petn with a request that a report may be submitted on the complaint raised by the petrs —

10 From, the Dy Commr, Kolar Distt
Letter no 6922/333 D 25-4-1912.
Submits further report called for in Sl 9

The Dy. Commr's report clearly explains the facts of the case.

The income from Kanike for 4 years past, is given in the Amildar's report no 658 D 26-2-1912

1907-8	140/-	Average 199/-
08-9	249/-	
09-10	210/-	
10-11	199/-	—

The Dharmadarsis and the Amildar suggest that a sum of Rs 120/- per year may be given to the Archaks from the total realizations from Kanike, and the amount actually realized if in any year, the income is less than Rs 120/-.

The Dy Commr recommends that to create enthusiasm in the Archaks for the proper conduct of their duties at such an important institution, an annual grant of Rs 150/- may be

NOTE.— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

Sanctioned to them, as the scale sanctioned is quite inadequate to meet the bare necessaries of the Archaks

Submitted for orders

Alg(132)
29.6.12

According to the G.O. of 12th July '11 the four Archaks get Rs 75/- per annum on an average. This will be increased to Rs 225/- if the Dt Comr's recommendation is approved, i/e, each Archak will get Rs 56-4-0 per year, which is not much, considering the importance and financial condition of the temple. The Dharmadarsies & the local officers are also in favour of giving an increase, and so the Dy Comr's recommendation may be approved.

1st Councillor)

25 7 12

Please put up draft enquiries on the points personally indicated

25.7.12

Submitted with a Dft

Alg(132)
26.7.12

Issue

26.7.12

11. To the Dy Comr, Kolar Dt
No 1078 29 7 12
Adverting to para 2 of ...

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8-10

Revenue riat.

Mucy File No. 126 of 1909–10.

Serial No.	
11	Observes that in Item Sl No 172. 12 before the Amildar, the Dharmadarsi - said that, as the archak will have to perform worship both in the Gods' temple, he may be given Rs 120/- per annum out of Cocoanut Kanikes, while the amuldar reports among other things that the Dharmadarsi deposes before him that four archaks are reqd for the regular conduct of services in the temple & requests information on certain points
12.	From, the Dy Commr, Kolar Distt Letter no 4044/155 Dy 17th Jany 1912. Submits further report called for in Sl 11. The Dy. Commr reports that the temple to the Goddess was built by certain devotees 10 or 15 years back, that 2 Archaks are working throughout the year, and that during the time of the Jatra and in the month of Sravani, when the place is visited by thousands of pilgrims, the registered Archak engages extra hands to get through the work on such important and busy occasions - The Dy. Commr repeats his recommendation to an additional grant of Rs 15/ out of the Kanikes to the Archak

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8-10

ral and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 126 of 1909

Serial No.

Archak Venkatarangachari has also petd. Gurs. (D. 1169) praying for early orders in the case.

Submtd for orders

NV(132) 22.1.13. Put up a draft declining the Dc. Comr's recommendation

AV

Sd 20.5.13

Submtd. with a dft order to the Councillor

NV(132) 10.6.13

Sd 3.7.13

4

As the Collectors relied on ex parte precautions in their nature, I have reduced the sum annually payable to 120 Rs

10/7/13 Draw 12/7

Sd 4.7.13

13 To. The Dy. Commissioner Kolar Dt. Comptroller to Government.

No 117-18 / Mun. 126-09-13 dt 17.7.13

Sanctions a sum of Rs 120 out of the mangalarathi and Prasadam

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—6,000—7-4-12.

Serial No.

15

Prasada collection as an additional remuneration to the Archaks of Guttahalli Venkatavaramanaswami Temple, Bowringpet Taluq.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—65,000—7-6-12.

Mwo. F 126—09—1.

3

Muz. 1]

190

From

To

The Dy Commr
Kolar Dist

Dated

Despatched 2nd Sept. 1904

Received

General No. 139

No.

Enclosure No.

In continuation of the marginally-noted communications from this office, has the honour to forward herewith petition regd no D 257 from Archak Venkatramanachar and others of Gutthahalli Bowringpet Tk, complaining that their previous petitions in the matter of the disbursement to them of Gplake collections of the

× no. 1728 Dy 7-5-1902
" - 66 Dy 5-7-1902
" - 1580 Dy 2-2-1903
" 1005 Dy 4-11-1903
" 3555 Dy 28-3-1905
" 2064 Dy 21-10-1907

G P Press—3,000- 19-9-07

Tamanandaswamy temple,
have not been disposed of
and to request that the
submission of the report on
the subject may kindly be
expedited

Yours under,

31/8/09

for Secretary.

31/8/09

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಕೆಇಎ/ಪಿಜಿಸಿಇಟಿ/ಸಿಇಟಿ/೨೦೨೧ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೦೨/೦೭/೨೦೨೧

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ೨೦೨೧ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಸ್ನಾತಕೋತ್ತರ ಪದವಿ ಪ್ರವೇಶಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ಮೂಲಕ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕವನ್ನು ೦೫/೦೭/೨೦೨೧ ರವರೆಗೆ ವಿಸ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಈ ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಸದುಪಯೋಗಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಅವರ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕದೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸ

9/3 for

21

7 126-09-3

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To The Secretary to the Govern.
of H H the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Branch.

Dated 3rd March 1911.

Despatched

Received

General No. 7382

No. 241

Enclosure No. 2 & 1 file

Submitting a report anent the management of Sri Venkata Ramanaswami Temple at Gulihalli Bowringpet Taluk.

Submit- note with reply & Sanction

13/3/11

13/3/11

Refs called for from Muzrai Supdt.

Mice

AM 18/3/1911

82
241 2nd file Dated Champion Reefs, the 3rd March 1911. 7

From

C. Srikantesvara Aiyar, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,

Offg. Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Branch, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 2064 dated the 21st October 1907, calling for a report on the petition of Archik Venkatramanachar alias Shamanna and other servants of Sri Venkatramanaswami Temple at Cuttahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk, praying that kanike and other income of the temple may, as usual, be disbursed to them, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. The temple in question is a Muzrai Institution endowed with a cash grant of ₹ 1-8-8 per mensem together with a small extent of land as particularized in the margin. The amount of cash grant is paid to the archik for puja service, and the land is enjoyed by the tafa and huvadiga attached to the temple. The other servants of the temple, as specified in the margin, have neither cash grants nor inam lands for the services they render to the temple.

S. No.	class	area ac	area gs	assessment
56	wet	1	8	6-0-0
75	dry	2	12	3-8-0

Manthrapushpa
Musicians
Dasari
Bhanka
Sweeper

3, This is a temple held in great veneration by a very large number of devotees both in and out of the Province who resort to this sacred place in large numbers in the month of Sravana and during the car festival held on Magha sudda

Pournavami of every year. The temple stands on the summit of a rock in gomal survey number 67. A large portion of the survey number around the temple is used by the devotees and merchants during Jatras. The merchants open their shops for the convenience of the pilgrims. About 10,000 people resort to this temple every year during car festival and Sravana, and the Institution is steadily rising in importance, attracting a large number of devotees from various parts - Bangalore, Bowringpet, Chintamani, Palamaner, Chottoor, Krishnagiri and Madras &c.

4. Formerly the leading residents of Venkatapur and Hulkur were in the habit of collecting kanikes from the devotees and of levying taxes on the shops opened at the jatra, the realisations being appropriated by them towards the expenses of the car festival and the payment of remuneration to the archik and other temple servants for their services. The management of the Institution was then in the hands of a few local people who styled themselves Dharmadarsies, without any control of the Taluk authorities, with the result that several complaints were made to Government in 1895, against two of them, viz, Mailegowda, Patel of Hulkur, and Venkatachelia, alleging that they misappropriated large sums of temple funds, and mismanaged its affairs. Enquiry showed that kanikes and jewels received from the devotees year after year, were not properly remitted to the treasury, but were retained by Mailegowda and that a sum of Rs 1,295-5-10 and jewels to the value of Rs 1,264-14-3 had to be accounted for by Mailegowda, who stated that as a Dharmadarsi the aforesaid sums were entrusted to him by the other Dharmsdarsis to purchase a jodi village for the benefit of the temple, a fact which was never accomplished. However, jewels weighing Rs 1,183 and gold weighing one tola were subsequently recovered from Mailegowda and orders were issued for the recovery of the cash amount. Mailegowda having died since, orders have been issued to recover the same by proceeding against the property of

9

Mailegowda. The silver and gold jewels recovered as stated above were sold in public auction and the amount realised, viz, Rs 278-2-0 was credited to the funds of the Institution on the 6th August 1901.

5. Government, in their Notification No.270 dated the 27th August 1900, had appointed the marginally noted Dharma-

M.R.Ry. Mailegowda
,, Sonnegowda
,, Anakareddi
,, Venkatramagowda
,, Krishnappa

darsis to supervise the affairs of the temple. Three of them, Nos. 1,3, and 4 had died and the remaining two were working with divided interest. Taking advantage of this, Shanbhogue Chikvenkatacheliah (who is also now dead) and another Srinivasiah had stepped in and styling themselves as Dharmadarsis had mismanaged the temple affairs.

6. When such unsatisfactory state of affairs came to my notice, vigourous efforts were made by Taluk authorities under my personal instructions to put an end to chaos and to take a systematic account of temple funds from various sources. The enclosed statement A of Receipts and Disbursements shows that the total income from all sources has steadily increased under strict supervision, and that it was Rs 1,070, Rs 2,098 and Rs 1,509 during the years 1907-08, 1908-09 and 1909-10 respectively.

B

7. I have inspected this Institution on several occasions and heard all parties concerned on more than one occasion both in person and represented by their Pleaders, and after very careful consideration and with due regard to the interests of the sacred Institution and local circumstances, I have got prepared a scale of remuneration to the several servants of the temple on the averages of rates prevailing in similar other Institutions in the District, which is set forth in the accompanying statement B, to which, I request you may be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government, as early as possible.

A

8. As the whole of the temple receipts are now being credited to the funds of the Institution, the remuneration

10

to the servants has not been paid to them for the past three years, and the same will be disbursed to them aforesaid on receipt of orders sanctionong the aforesaid scale.

9. In order to efficiently manage the affairs of the Institution in future, I have selected the marginally noted

M.R.Py. K. Krishnappa
,, Venkatreddi
,, V. Krishnappa
,, Gulegowda
,, Gundu Rao

men as Dharmadarsis in place of those appointed in Government Notification No.270 dated the 27th August 1900, and request that they may be approved and their names notified in the Gazette.

10. Apart from the amount of Receipts to the credit of the Temple which is Rs 7,335 to the end of the 30th June 1910, silver and gold mudapus weighing Rs 649-3-0 and 7 annas and 10 grains respectively are secured in the Treasury. By selling the mudapus I am afraid the object of the devotees who have tendered them for the ues of the Deity would be frustrated. In conformity with the intentions of the devotees, I would propose to convert these mudapus, which are tiny little jewels of sorts into one or two large jewels to be used for the Deities during Jatras.

11. The entire file of correspondence ending with the Bowringpet Amildar's memorandum dated the 14th March 1910, is herewith submitted for reference and obliging return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Srikanta Varadiyan

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Before the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore this 7. 12 6-09-4

D 1716

Petitioner: Venkataramanachary aged
Syamanna, Archak of Sri
Venkataramanaswamy Temple,
Gullahalli, Betamangala Hobli,
Bowringpet Taluk, Kolar District.

The petitioner begs to state that he is the Archak of Sri Venkataramanaswamy, Gulla-halli, Betamangala Hobli, Bowringpet Taluk, Kolar District. He has been doing the puja to the above god for the last 16 years. Prior to him his father was doing it for more than 50 years, but ceased to do it owing to his old age.

2. The temple in question is giving to Government a cash allowance of Rs 2-7-0 only, as per the inam lands granted by the Inam Commissioner dated 8th January 1872 and 7th May 1872, and this goes to "wards" [illegible] as stated in these sanads. The Archak has no remuneration given to him by the Government, nor has he any inam lands. The temple is not, besides, a hereditary institution, and it has been so held by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, so long ago as 5th September 1884.

3. The collections made during the [illegible]

- nary and (extraordinary
were appropriated by the Archak for
living, and to meet the costs for the
- tion of these feasts. The Government would
not interfere with these collections and other
internal management of the temple, except
to see that the cash allowance given, viz Rs 27-4
was used for "ಕಾಸು ಕಾಸಿಗೆ ಪಾಲು ಪಾಲಿಗೆ".
4. Matters stood thus till 1896 when the
Amildar took it to his head to have a box
(ಹುಂಡಿ) placed in the temple to receive
the collections, so that there might be a better
accounting for the things so collected. The
collections, however, used to be distributed
among the Archak and other temple servants
in accordance with the established practice.
The right of the Archak to receive the collections
was never encroached upon, and the affairs of
the temple were carried on as hitherto.
5. In 1908 disputes arose between one Sree
- nivasamurtyachar and the petitioner regard
- ing the office of the Archak. The former
claimed it as the adopted son of one Timma
- nnachar who is the uncle (ಸೋದರ ಮಾವ
ಕ) of the petitioner. The Deputy Commi
- ssioner in his order dated 16th August
1909 held that it was very doubtful whether
the said Sreenivasamurtyachar was the
adopted son of Timmannachar. And he
at the same time ordered that the petitioner
was to be the Archak, and to give the said
Timmannachar 35% of the income of the
temple. It may be here stated that the
so-called adopted son, Sreenivasamurtya
-char is now dead, and that Timmannachar

with the petitioner and under his
action, as he is the head of the Hindu community
he has no other course to take. The disputes are
thus set at rest which the Government considers
claim your prise to
6. With the settlement of these disputes the
old order of things should have been restored;
at any rate, matters should have been pre-
-served to the condition which obtained in
1895. The Government will interference with
the affairs of the temple should have ceased
with the settlement of these disputes in the
manner stated above.
7. The Talaris authorities, however, did
not choose to leave matters there. They wanted
to establish their control over the temple and
the settlement of the disputes aforesaid would
afforded them an opportunity to do this
purpose. They began to interfere with the affairs
of the temple, in a manner which was
opposed to law and justice and finally a scheme
for the management of the temple and
a scale for the payment of the temple servants
fixed. The petitioner is informed that as
above was done long ago, and it has been
submitted to the Government for sanction
through the Deputy Commissioner of the
Head Quarters, the petitioner therefore
knows that all has been done.
8. The petitioner humbly submits that the
above action of the Talaris authorities is
illegal and against law and ...

14

stated, the temple is not a living
shrine, and any interference with
management department is unauthorised. And,
besides, no reasons have been assigned, nor
any cause has arisen, for such interference
by the action of the above authorities has
put the petitioner to great hardship and loss.
He and his family has no other means
of living than what used to be received
by way of collections. That has been
entitled from time immemorial like other
humble servants have been given their
share.

The petitioner, therefore, prays that the
action of the above authorities may be
set aside and it may and be declared,
that, in the event, the practice which prevailed
before 1890 may be restored; and that, if
this is not possible what was established
that year and ranged in till 1906, may
be ordered to be brought into operation
the petitioner's share in the meantime,
his share of the collections now withheld
may be directed to be immediately
given to him

17th April 1911

15

.....No..... .....of

Before the Government
In the Court of H H the Maharaja of Mysore

Petitioner. Plaintiff Appellant

Vs.

the Secretary

the Respondent in the

do hereby appoint B. RAMANNA, Esq., B. A., LL. B., High Court Vakil and Advocate

to conduct it for me and on my behalf, and to do all the acts and appearances necessary for the same

In witness whereof I have set my hand this

Signature.

I certify that this Vakalatnama was signed in my presence and accept it

Advocate.

R.S. 28.]

1716/2916

16

File No

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mis. 2)

, *the* 19

Date of issue

Signed by

READ—

Letter No. Y382/241 dated 3rd March 1911, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar district, reporting on the affairs of the Sri Venkataramana-swami temple at Guttahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk.

ORDER THEREON.—No.

Petition Regd. No. 1716 dated 17th April 1911 from Venkataramana Chari alias Shamanna, Archak of the said temple urging objections to the proposals contained in the Deputy Commissioner's letter

P. T. over

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000 - 9-12-09

RSS/8/11

17

Order Here on No 110-11 Encl 4
Mus. F. 126-09-5

12/7/1911

The Temple of Sri Venkataramana Swami at Guttahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk is a Muzrai Institution endowed with an annual Cash grant of Rs 18-8-0. It is held in great Veneration by a large number of devotees both in and out of the Province who visit the place in large numbers in the month of Sravana and during the Car-festival held on [illegible] of every year

2. A few local people Constituted themselves Dharma Dasis of the Institution and were, without any Authority, in the habit of Collecting Kanikes from the devotees and levying taxes on shops opened during the Jatra and appropriating the realizations towards the expenses of the Car-festival and the remuneration of the Archak and

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

4

other temple servants. This system could, of course, not work satisfactorily, and frequent complaints having been made to government, the Deputy Commissioner was in docket No 724 dated 18th January 1896 requested to direct the Amildar or the Sheristedar of the Taluk to see that the Kanikês and offerings made during the car-festival were properly secured in a dabbier box and proper correct accounts of the same prepared and to credit to the Taluk Treasury Kanikês received in Cash In Notification No. 270 D/27th August 1900, five Dharmadarsis were appointed to supervise the affairs of the temple

3. There was, however, not much improvement in the management till about the year 1906 ~~since~~ when ~~other~~ under the ~~personal~~ direction of the Deputy Commissioner the Taluk ~~Revenue~~ Officials were deputed ~~have been paying attention~~ to collect the income of the temple from various sources, specified in the margin, with the result that there is now a sum of Rs 7885/- at the credit of

(1) Utsava Kanikê
(2) Marriage fees
(3) Kuruba Kanikê
(4) Shop Tax
(5) Miscellaneous Kanikê, i.e., Collections from Golaka or box.

MGCPB—30,000—5-4-06

of the Institution.

4. The Deputy Commissioner now proposes that 40 p/c of the utsava Kaniké and marriage fees shall be distributed among the temple servants as shewn in the margin and 40 p/c of the Kurulu Kaniké made over to the barbers and 5 p/c of the utsava Kaniké, marriage fees, Kurulu Kaniké and Shop-tax paid as Commission to the parties Collecting them. He also adds that Rs 100/- and Rs 52-4-0 a year may be set apart for Rathotsava and Ketchu Kallé utsavas.

No.	Temple Servants	Marriage fees	Utsava Kaniké
		p/c	p/c.
1	Archak	12 1/2	11
2	Mahápush pa	5	4
3	Musicians	15	8
4	Swaradigar	5	2
5	Bazar	—	2
6	Shroff	5	2
7	Palki bearers	—	—
8	Chatri holder	—	2
9	Sweeper	2 1/2	2

5. Archak Venkatáramana Chari alias Shamanna urges that as regards the affairs of this Institution, Government can only interfere to see if the Cash grant is properly spent and that they should not interfere in other matters and that, therefore, the practice which prevailed prior to 1896 or at least that which was followed between 1896 and 1906 should be restored.

This Contention which is practically a prayer for making over the management of the temple to irresponsible persons cannot

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

be acceded to.

6. The Deputy Commissioner has duly heard the prayers of the Archak and other parties urged on more than one occasion both in person and by Pleaders and has, after very careful Consideration of the Case and with due regard to the interests of the temple, made the proposals referred to in Para 4 above. These proposals which are very fair are approved & sanctioned.

The bill for the remuneration for the years 1907-08, 1908-09 and 1909-10, in accordance with the scale now approved, received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter read above is Countersigned and forwarded to the Comptroller for audit.

7. As the annual income of the Institution from all sources is more than Rs 250/-, the Comptroller to Govt. is requested to register this temple as a major Institution.

8. A separate notification appointing as Dharma Karta the person recommended by the Dy. Commissioner will be issued.

MGCPB—30,000—5-4-05

21

9. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit proposals about the investment of the temple savings so as to provide a permanent income to the Institution.

14.6.[19]14

DVL)

for abdg. Secretary.

To.

The Dy. Comm^r., Kolar Dt.,
returning records.

" Comptroller to Govt.,
with the file.

Encl. Abstract.

Perused

23/6/11

3 Dfts

22

File No.

Serial No.

261

1786/2716

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 112 Dt 12/7/11

Mun 126-09-6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 190

To

Notification

The undermentioned persons are appointed Dharmadarsis to supervise the affairs of the Sri Venkataramana Swami temple at Guttahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk, in place of those appointed in Notification No. 270 dated 27th August 1900

(1) Mr. K. Krishnappa

(2) " Venkata Reddi

(3) " V. Krishnappa

(4) " Gule Gowda

(5) " Gundu Rao.

By order,

Dft) - 14.6.1911

Lr 0884-912.

P.T. over.

Date of issue

Signed by

SUBJECT.

1786/27/6 11

No. 22

The Compiler, Dipr. Gazette, for publication in English & Canarese.

No. 113 / 126-09-6 Dt. 12/7/1911

Copy to Dy. Commissioner, Kolar Dt., in continuation of Govt. Pro. etc. of

By order,

14.6.1911

for Offg Secy

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-5-08

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

READ—

Letter No. 7382-241, dated 3rd March 1911, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, reporting on the affairs of the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Guttahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk

Petition registered No. 1716, dated 17th April 1911, from Venkataramanachari *alias* Shamanna archak of the said temple, urging objections to the proposals contained in the Deputy Commissioner's letter.

No. 110-11—Muz. 126-09-5, DATED BANGALORE, 12TH JULY 1911.

ORDER THEREON.—The temple of Venkataramanaswami at Guttahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk is a Muzrai institution endowed with an annual cash grant of Rs. 18-8-0. It is held in great veneration by a large number of devotees both in and out of the Province, who visit the place in large numbers in the month of Sravana and during the car-festival held on Magha Suddha Pournami of every year.

2. A few local people constituted themselves dharmadarsis of the institution and without any authority were in the habit of collecting kanikes from the devotees and levying taxes on shops opened during the jatra and appropriating the realisations towards the expenses of the car festival and the remuneration of the archak and other temple servants. This system could, of course, not work satisfactorily; and frequent complaints having been made to Government, the Deputy Commissioner was, in docket No. 724, dated 18th January 1896, requested to direct the Amildar or the Sheristadar of the taluk to see that the kanikes and other offerings made during the car festival were properly secured in a dabbi or box and to get correct accounts of the same prepared and to credit to the Taluk Treasury kanikes received in cash In Notification No. 270, dated 27th August 1900, five dharmadarsis were appointed to supervise the affairs of the temple.

3. There was, however, not much improvement in the management till about the year 1906, when, under the direction of the Deputy Commissioner, the taluk officials were deputed to collect the income of the temple from various sources specified in the margin, with the result that there is now a sum of Rs. 7,885 at the credit of the institution.

1. Utsava kanike.
2. Marriage fees
3. Kurulu kanike
4. Shop tax
5. Miscellaneous kanike, *i. e.*, collections from Golaka or box

4. The Deputy Commissioner now proposes that 40 per cent of the utsava kanike and marriage fees should be distributed among the temple servants as shown below and 40 per cent of the kurulu kanike made over to the barbers and 5 per cent of the utsava kanike, marriage fees, kurulu kanike, and shop tax paid as commission to the parties collecting these items. He also adds that Rs. 100 and Rs. 52-4-0 a year may be set apart for rathotsava and hetchukatte utsavas:—

No.	Temple servants	Marriage fees	Utsava kanike
		Percentage	Percentage
1	Archak	12½	11
2	Mantrapushpa	5	4
3	Musicians	15	8
4	Huvadiga	.	2
5	Dasari ..		2
6	Dhobi	5	2
7	Palki bearers . .		8
8	Chatri holder		2
9	Sweeper	2½	1

5. Archak Venkataramanachari *alias* Shamanna urges that as regards the affairs of this institution, Government can only interfere to see if the cash grant is properly spent and that they should not interfere in other matters and that, therefore, the practice which prevailed prior to 1896 or at least that which was followed between 1896 and 1906 should be restored. This contention, which is practically a prayer for making over the management of the temple to irresponsible persons, cannot be acceded to.

Muzrai, dated 12th July 1911.

6. The Deputy Commissioner has duly heard the prayers of the archak and other parties urged on more than one occasion, both in person and by pleaders, and has, after very careful consideration of the same and with due regard to the interests of the temple, made the proposals referred to in para 4 above. These proposals, which are very fair, are approved and sanctioned.

The bill for the remuneration for the years 1907-08, 1908-09 and 1909-10, in accordance with the scale now approved, received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter read above, is countersigned and forwarded to the Comptroller for audit.

7. As the annual income of the institution from all sources is more than Rs. 250, the Comptroller to Government is requested to register this temple as a major institution.

8. A separate notification appointing as Dharmadarsis the persons now recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, will be issued.

9. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit proposals about the investment of the temple savings so as to provide a permanent income to the institution.

Draft 8 U. S. 1911

for Offg Secy to Govt, Gen & Rev Depts

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, returning records
The Comptroller, Mysore Government, with the bill

Lxd—[illegible]

Transmitted to Agt
for V. Narasimha Iyer
Custodian
Upon completion of
work pending with you
Upon disposal
of order of above
Chief Inspector
Jeypore

24/8/09

Before the Government of H H the Maharajah of
Mysore Mungroy Department

Petition No of 1911-12

Venkataramanachary alias
Shamanna, Archuk of the Gattahli
Venkataramanaswamy temple.
Bowringpet Taluk.
Petitioner.

300
1-11-12
Murrai
126-09
8

The petitioner abovenamed most respectfully begs to state as follows:-

1 That the petitioner has been the archuk of the Shee Venkataramanaswamy temple, Gattahulle of the Bowringpet Taluk for the past 10 years. Before this, his father Venkatramanachary was performing the duties for 60 years.

2. That the Government has all along been paying Rupees 1-8-8 according to the Inam Summud, the object of the grant being stated to be for Padathara Deparadharay.

3. That the other expenses in the temple were being met out of the income derived from the devotees and the Archuk was paid his share out of it.

4 That the temple was being managed till the year 1884 by the devotees when the Amildar of the Taluk interferred with the management by trying to collect for the Government the silver and gold offered

offered by the devotees and also one
fee each ostcharam and rent from every
shop opened near the temple on festive
occasions and Rupee for every marriage
celebrated in the temple. A protest was
made by the devotees at this interference
and the Deputy Commissioner by his
order dated the 5th September 1884 passed
an order that any interference by the
Government Servants in the management
was uncalled for and that according
to the terms of the grant the Government
was to see that the temple was kept in
good order and the service was effectually
rendered. An extract from the "Dayata"
of the said date of the Deputy Commis-
sioner's Office is produced herewith.

5. That in spite of this order collections
used to be made by the Government
Servants and left with the Dharmakartas
of the temple constituted for the manage-
ment of the temple, after paying the temple
servants out of the fund, the Archeck
being paid 8 annas for every procession
besides being allowed to enjoy all the
Kanekays paid by the devotees for the
performance of Mangalarthi, Service
of Theertha and the breaking of cocoa-
nuts both on festive and on ordinary
occasions.

complained
temple affairs in the year 1885 there
an order on the 7th September 1885 in terms
confirming the practice prevailing viz that
the silver and gold offered by the devotees
be the property of the deity and that all
the cash collections be the property of the
Archik and other temple servants.

7. That in February 1886, the petitioner's father
Venkatarama Bhattacharya having heard that on
his son's being allowed the cash collections
he was to be paid a monthly salary, petitioned
the Dharmakarta and the Shaikdar
for verification when he was informed
that the original practice alone would
be continued. This endorsement is dated
the 1st February 1886.

8. That matters stood thus till 1896 when
an innovation was introduced by
placing a box to collect all the Kanikays
and the silver and gold offered to the
deity and once every six months the con-
tents of the box used to be removed, the
Archik paid all the cash, the silver and
gold being taken by the Government. A
Mahazar was also prepared on this occa-
sion regarding the practice then in
vogue

9. In the year 1896 disputes arose between
one Veeravasa Bhattacharya claiming
the Archik office as the adopted son of
the petitioner's uncle and the petitioner
and the Government then thought fit
not to disburse the collection of Kanikay
to

to the petitioner pending the
the dispute in question.

16. That on the 11th of February 1908 the Deputy
Commissioner of the District thought fit
to direct the disbursement of the amount
in deposit but notwithstanding this the
amount was not paid to the petitioner
till the dispute between the petitioner
and the said Sreenivasa Moorthachary
was settled. This was settled in 1909
when it was agreed that the petitioner was to
continue rendering pooja in the temple
& that out of the income by way of
collections 35% per cent was to be paid
the petitioner's uncle Ramanachary.
This settlement between the parties was
confirmed by the Deputy Commissioner
by his order dated the 16th August 1909.

11. That Sreenivasa Moorthachary who
put forth his claim as the adopted
son of Ramanachary is now dead
but in accordance with the terms
of the settlement 35% of the collections
is still to be paid to the said Rama-
nanachary.

12. That though ostensibly the disbursement
was withheld from the year 1906 on
the ground of the dispute between
the said Sreenivasa Moorthachary
and the petitioner, he has not been paid
his share of the money up to now though
the

was settled so long ago 1909 as already stated.

13. That now a scheme has been proposed by the Deputy Commissioner in modification of the practice prevailing hitherto by allowing the petitioner 11 and 12½ % of the collections and this to have retrospective effect in respect of the collections from the year 1906.

14. That in suggesting this modification, the Deputy Commissioner who passed the order in the year 1908 regarding the arrangement between the petitioner and his uncle has ignored its terms as under the arrangement the petitioner has to pay all the same, the 35 % of the collection to his uncle.

15. That there is no good reason why the original practice should be altered and the rights and privileges of the petitioner curtailed by suggesting an arrangement which militates very much against his interest more so when the mamool was working satisfactorily for the long time.

The petitioner humbly craves that the benign government may in the

87

circumstances be pleased to reconsider its order and direct that the original practice under which the petitioner and those before him were receiving all the Kanikays and 8 annas for every procession be paid to him and that the amount now in deposit may also be disbursed to him accordingly. In this connection to bring to the notice of the Court he begs leave to the practice prevailing in the Gangamma's temple, Peddahulli Dasarahulli Hobli, Bowringpet Taluk and in Venkataramanaswamy temple in Cheekka Thirupathy, Malur Taluk.

Bangalore
23rd August 1911

33

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

191 — 12 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ . .ನಂಬರು.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

Vs.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ಮು॥ ರಾ॥ ರಾ॥ ಸಿ. ವಿ ನರಸಿಂಹ್ಯಯಿಂಗಾರ್, ಬಿ. ಎ, ಬಿ ಎಲ್., ರವರಿಗೆ.

ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾನು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಸೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯ್ಗೆ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ, ಆರ್ಜಿ ವಗ್ಯೆರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಕಿಲ್ಲು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಂಡು ರಸೀತಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು, ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತೈ ನಜು ವಗ್ಯೆರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ಈಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 23/8/11

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇವರ್ಕೈ ಗುರು ಮಾಡಿರುವದೆಂದು ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿಧೇನೆ.

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನ.

National Press Bangalore

erial No.

referred to 300

No. 1114 Ens Dy
Mus 126-09-5

14-11-1911

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 19 .

To

The Dy Commr,
Kolar Dist

Date of issue 14-11-1911

Signed by order A Subrahmanya Dy/81

Sir,

In contn of G.O no. 110-11 Dy 12th July 1911 Mus 126-09-5 passing orders on the distribution of the income of the Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple at Guttahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk among the Temple servants, I am directed to forward petition regd no 300 Dy 23-8-19,

over.

SUBJECT.

received from archaka
Venkataramanachar praying
for a modification of the
said order and to request
that a report may be
submitted on his complaint.
Viz that certain Kanikes
(3 pies levied per Cocoanut
broken and money for
Mangalarati and Thirtha
which were his perquisites
are now being credited
to the general funds.

I have etc.

Dft
Cis-order
8/11/21
for Secretary

List of enclosures,

Copied by
Examined by
10/11/21

GPB—20,000—26-9-10

1817

11 12
Mus
126-09
10

From The Offg Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To The Offg Secretary to the
Govt of H. H. the
Maharaja of Mysore

Dated

Despatched 25-4-1912 Genl & Abst Dept

Received Mysore Branch
Bangalore

General No. 6922

No. 333

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submitting a

on the petition of Vakkat
ramanachar, Archak
of the Sri Venkataramana
swami temple at Gatta-
halli, Bowringpet Taluq.

a.N.

No. 6922 in file
333

Deputy Commiss

Kolar District,

Dated Kolar, 25th April 1912.

From,

P. F. Bowring, Esquire,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District,

To,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B. A.;

Offg. Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments,

Muzrai Branch.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1114 Muz. F.126-09-9 dated 14th November 1911, calling for a report on the petition of Venkataramanachar, Archak of the Sree Venkataramanaswami Temple at Guttahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk, praying for the modification of Government Order No.110-11 Muz.126-09-5 dated 12th July 1911 anent certain Kanikes (3 pies levied per cocoanut broken and money for Mangalarti and Thirtha) which were his perquisites, be paid to him, I have the honor to state that from local enquiry, it has been ascertained that until the Temple was brought under Government management in 1906, the Archaks were levying 3 pies per cocoanut when both the broken pieces of the cocoanut were returned to the devotees or one half of the broken cocoanut when no fees was levied from them. But from the time the Temple was taken under Government management, a levy of three pies per cocoanut broken has been fixed returning the two broken parts to the devotees and the amount realized under this item is being credited to the Temple funds. The collections under this head alone for the past 4 years from 1907-08 to 1910-11, amounts to an average of Rs, 199-12-6 per annum.

2. The Dharmadarsis of the Temple and the Amildar opine

that, in consideration of the poor scale sanctioned to the Archaks in Government Proceedings referred to above, by which they get an average annual income of about Rs. 75/- only, and that four persons (two for the God's temple and two for the Goddess's temple) had to perform worship daily throughout the year, an annual grant of Rs. 120/- to the Archaks out of the Kanikes in question, may kindly be sanctioned.

3. By taking into account the sancitity of the temple and the consequent necessity for requisite provision for proper worship of the same all round the year, I am of opinion that to create enthusiasm in the Archaks for proper conduct of their duties at such an important institution, an annual grant of Rs. 150/- may be sanctioned to them out of the Kanikes in question, as the scale sanctioned in para three of the Government Proceedings supra, is quite inadequate to meet the bare necessaries of the Archaks.

4. The vernacular file of correspondence ending with the Bowringpet Taluk Amildar's report No. 658 dated 26th February 1912, together with the petition received with the above, is herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Rasachandra
Assistant to the
Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar District,

Absent from station

1517/1712

Serial No. 1109

Diary No. of letter replied to ———

No. 107 Enclosure

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 19 .

To

The Dy Commr,
Kolar Distt

Date of issue 29th Jan 1912

Signed by P. Rameswamayya

Sir,

Adverting to para 2 of your letter no 6922/333 Dy 25th April 12 recommending that as 4 persons (2 for Suttahalli Venkataramana Swamy Temple and 2 for the Anumanaraya Temple) have to perform worship daily throughout the year, an addl grant of ~~Rs 120/-~~ Rs 150/- to the Archaks out of certain Kanikes to the

over.

SUBJECT.

29/1

40

Temple may be sanctioned, I am directed to observe that in their stat. Dy 17th Feby. 1912 before the Amildar, the Dharmadarsis say that as the Archak will have to perform worship both in the God's Temple and new Annamanavaru Temple, he may be given Rs 120/- per annum out of Cocoanut Kanikes, while the Amildar reports among other things that the Dharmadarsis deposed before him that 4 Archaks were reqd. for the regular conduct of services in the temple - I am to request inform on the points noted below:—

1) When was the new Temple of Goddess built and under whose authority?

2) How many Archaks are now actually working in the Temple, and when were they appointed as such?

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—25-9-10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

performing puja in both temples, what reasonable amount may be paid to him out of the Kanike realizations refd to above?

The records are returned

I have etc

Sd/- 26/7/12

for Secy - Secretary

26/7/12

GPB—10,000—22 2 11

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

DIARY No. 1174
19/2/19 13
SECTION Mucl
FILE No. 126-09
SERIAL No. 17

To The Secretary to the Government,
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Gen. & Rev. Departments.
(Muzrai Branch)

Dated
Despatched 17th January 1913.
Received
General No. 4044
No. 155
Enclosure No. file

Recommends an additional grant of Rs 150/ out of the Kanikes as extra remuneration to the archak of Sri Venkat ramanaswami Temple at Guttahalli in the Bowringpet Tan

HPB—1,50,000—3

No. 4044 File. 155

Office of the Deputy Co

Kolar District.

Dated Kolar, 16th January 1913.

From,

P. F. Bowring Esquire.,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar District.

To,

K. R. Srinivasiengar Esquire, M.A .,

Offg. Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.
(Muzrai Branch)

Sir,

With reference t o your letter No.107/Muz F.126-09-11 dated 29th July 1911 anent the additional grant to the archak of the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Guttahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk, I have the honor to state that the temple to the Goddess was built by certain devotees about 10 or 15 years back, two archaks are working throughout the year and during the time of the Jatra and in the month of Sravani, when the place is visited by thousands of pilgrims the registered archak engages extra hands to get through the work on such important and busy occasions.

2. The remuneration sanctioned to the archaks in Government Proceedings No.110-11 Muz.126-09-5 dated 12th July 1911 being poor, considering the importance and the situation of the place it was recommended for an additional grant of Rs.150/- out of the Kanikes and solicit that you may be so good as to obtain sanction of Government to this proposal.

3. The vernacular file of correspondence ending with the

Bowringpet Amildar's report No.342 dated 21st October 1912 is herein enclosed for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
for Offg Deputy Commissioner,
(absent from station)

Prasada collection as an addl remuneration to the Archaks of Guttahalli Venkatarāmaṇa Swamy temple, Bowringpet Tq

File No. 126/1909

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to 1174

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

the 191

Date of issue 17 7 1913

Signed by Mr G Ramundaiyar

READ—

Govt Pro no 110-11/Inam 7 126-09-5 Dy 12th July 1911 sanctioning a scale of remuneration for the servants of Sri Venkatarāmaṇa Swamy temple at Guttahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk.

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

Petition regd no 300 Dy 24th August 1911 from Archak Venkatarāmaṇachari alias

SUBJECT.

cpd MV 16/7

Shanmuna praying for a re-consideration of the above order.

Correspondence ending with letter no 4044/155 Dt 17th Jany. 1913 from the Dy. Commr, Kolar Dist, on the subject.

Order thereon No 117-8 Ems/13 Dt 17th July 1913.

In praying for a review of the above order of Sent, Archak Venkataramanachar alleged that the fees for Mangalarathi and presenting of Prasada to the deity which ought to go to the officiating Archak was also being credited to the Temple A report upon this allegation having been called for, the Dy. Commr confirms the statement and estimates the collection from the Cocoanuts presented alone to amount to Rs 19 a year. In view of

GPB -20,000—2 10 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

The Archaks are now getting in return for work of an exacting nature, he recommends that Rs 150 out of this collection may be made over to the Archaks.

Under the circumstances represented, the Govt are pleased to sanction ~~Rs 150~~ Rs 120 out of the Mangalarati and Coconut fee ~~Bandar~~ Collection as an additional remuneration to the Archaks.

10/7/13

Re-draft

3/7/13

for Secretary.

To,

The Dy. Commr, Kolar Dist,

Retg. the records.

" Comptr, Mysore for

GPB—10,000—22-2-11

Copy to D.C. Kolar No 113 Dt 12-7-911.

Sl No 7. To. Petitioner Venkataramana Char, Gudibanda

Cust No 114 Dated 12th July 911.

Intimates as in Serial No 5.

Sl No 8 From Venkataramana Char. Review

Petition No - Dated 24th August 1911.

Prays for the reconsideration of the

Govt order Dated 12th July 911.

Sl No 9. To. the Deputy Com, Kolar Dt No 1114 dt

14th November 1911

Forwards the above petetion with

a request that a report may be

submitted on the Complaint

raised by the Petetioner

Sl No 10 From Do ——— No 6922 Dt 25-4-912

333

Subm its further report called for —

in Serial No 9.

Sl No 11 To. Do No 107 Dated 29-7-912

observes that in their Statement Dated

17-2-912 before the Asst Comr, the Dharma

darsis say that as the archaks will have

to perform worship both in the Goddess

he may be given Rs 120/- for a

cut of the Kanikes, while the Asst

reports among other things that

archaks were required

Conduct of Services in
regard to information on the
Cr no 12. From the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar
Dispatch No 4044/155 D 17th January 1912.
Submits further report called for
in Serial No. 11.

Cr No 13. To the Deputy Comr, Kolar Dt + Comptroller
No 117-8 Dated 19th July 1913.
Sanctions a Sum of Rs 120/- out
of the Mangalaratti and Prasada
Collection as an additional
remuneration to the archaks.
of the temple